

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1570 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 25.08.2017

H.V.P.N.L.and others

....Appellants

Versus

Surinder Pal

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr.R.D.Bawa, Advocate
for the appellants.

...

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

This appeal is against the order dated 19.05.2017 directing regularisation of the services of respondent Surinder Pal in view of the affidavit filed before the writ court conceding that the said respondent was senior to his juniors whose services have been regularised.

We have perused the affidavit from where the directions of the learned Single Judge flow and find absolutely no infirmity in the directions of the learned Single Judge as the affidavit is amply clear in this regard. We are also constrained to observe that the organisation such as H.V.P.N.L. is fighting a battle to ward off consequences of regularisation of a poor part-time workman who has been forced into throes of litigation. Even this appeal is without any merit as no meaningful argument has been advanced. It has not only resulted in huge inconvenience to the workman but also in the wastage of time of the court unnecessarily. The same is dismissed, particularly when it

is barred by 66 days which delay is absolutely unexplained, with costs of Rs.50,000/- which shall be compensatory to the respondent-workman and shall be paid within a period of two months from today.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

25.08.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No