

Sr. No.238

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No. 1432 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: October 11, 2017

Ramandeep Kaur @ Ramandeep

....Appellant

VS.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present:- Mr.HPS Ishar, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. PPS Thethi, Addl. A. G. Punjab.
Mr.Kapil Khanna, Advocate for respondent no.5.

-.-

MAHESH GROVER, J (ORAL)

We have ascertained the wish of the child who is present in Court and states that he does not either wish to live with his mother or even meet her. These are the proceedings arising out of the prayer made by the petitioner by invoking the writ jurisdiction. We will not intervene in the matter further in view of categorical stand of the young boy (alleged detainee). However, since the appellant is already before a Court of competent jurisdiction seeking custody of the child, we leave these major issues of custody etc. to be dealt with by that Court. We also make it clear that at any stage if the Court feels that the matter can be resolved through deliberations or mediation etc., it is free to take recourse to such a process. We need not say anything to clarify the order of the learned Single Judge as it is based primarily on the wish of the detainee and the proceedings before the custody Court shall not be influenced by anything said in the order, or by the present proceedings.

**(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE**

October 11, 2017
mamta

Whether /reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No