

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1402 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 23.10.2017

Vijay Kumar

....Appellant

Versus

The State Information Commissioner, Haryana
and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : Mr.Aman Dhir, Advocate
for the appellant.

MAHESH GROVER, J.(Oral)

This appeal is against the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 27.04.2017.

The appellant participated in a selection process which was initiated vide an advertisement in the year 2009. The selection process was concluded after almost two years, whereafter the appellant applied for an information which was granted to him after about an year. He then filed a writ petition stating therein that he was not given complete information. The respondents had taken a plea that all the information sought by the appellant had been supplied except for permission to inspect the OMR sheet. The learned Single Judge opined that it was not a case fit enough for interference in the writ proceedings which is a cause of grievance before us.

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that the impugned order has caused immense prejudice to him. We notice from the order

of the learned Single judge that during the pendency of the writ petition the appellant was permitted inspection of the record available with the Haryana Staff Selection Commission and an affidavit of the SPIO was produced along with the admission of the appellant qua the inspection. If that is so, then we are of the opinion that the present appeal is totally frivolous. The appellant has been granted entire information including access to the entire record available with the Commission. We also notice that there was an inordinate delay in initiating the process of seeking information which is crucial looking at the ostensible reason seeking the information i.e. challenge to the selection which was concluded in the year 2011, although the learned Single Judge was right in observing that the purpose of seeking the information may not be a determining factor.

No ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

23.10.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No