

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1322 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 08.11.2017

Shailender Hooda

....Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

**Present : Mr.Sandeep Singh, Advocate
for appellant.**

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

Before us, while impugning the judgment of the learned Single Judge, the only contention that has been raised is on an issue of fact that the appellant had submitted his application for renewal of FIR(A) licence, upon which we asked the learned counsel for the appellant to show us any material on record accompanied by an assertion in the writ petition.

Learned counsel for the appellant failed to satisfy our curiosity and, therefore, the solitary plea raised before us cannot be accepted in view of the clear finding recorded by the learned Single Judge that the appellant failed to get his FIR(A) licence renewed. We are absolutely in agreement with the learned Single Judge that flying an aircraft without licence is a very serious business which could invite very serious action by the competent authority and there can be no scope for compassion in such like matters. Since no other point has been urged, we decline interference.

Appeal dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

08.11.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No