

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

L.P.A. No.1279 of 2017 (O&M)

Decided on : 24.07.2017

Ganpat Rai

... Appellant

Versus

State of UT and others

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present : Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate,
for the appellant.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

CM-2728-LPA-2017

There is a delay of 7 days in filing the appeal. For the reasons mentioned in the application, we condone the delay of 7 days in filing the appeal.

Application stands allowed.

CM-2729-LPA-2017

There is a delay of 7 days in re-filing the appeal. For the reasons mentioned in the application, we condone the delay of 7 days in re-filing the appeal.

Application stands allowed.

Main case

This appeal is against the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 7.3.2017. The appellant preferred a writ petition seeking a mandamus that respondent No.1 in the present proceedings be directed

to take effective measures to comply with the recovery certificate dated 5.8.2014. Responding to the petition, the State drew the attention of the writ Court to Annexure R-2/7, according to which, certain recoveries are to be effected from the appellant. At the same time, noticing that the office of the respondents had shifted to Panchkula, necessary directions were issued to the Collector-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula to execute order Annexure R-2/7.

After hearing the learned counsel for the appellant, we do not find any infirmity therein. No argument worth the name has been raised before us.

Consequently, we decline interference leaving the appellant to his remedies in law.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

July 24, 2017
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No