

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1201 of 2017

Date of Decision :07.07.2017

Mohan Lal

....Appellant

Versus

The Financial Commissioner (Revenue),
Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

....

Present: Mr.Ramesh Goyal, Advocate
for the appellant.

....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

This Letters Patent Appeal is directed against the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 16.05.2017 negating the claim of the appellant for the post of Lambardar. He was initially the choice of the Collector but subsequent proceedings resulted in upsetting this arrangement in favour of respondent No.4.

We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant and have also compared the relative merits of both the contestants. The appellant claims himself to be the son of deceased Lambardar, higher secondary pass and aged 45 years having 3-1/2 acres to his credit. Whereas the selected candidate is a retired army personnel, 60 years of age, matriculate having 4 acres to his credit. Thus there is hardly any difference to tilt the scales in favour of the appellant. We

would thus not interfere with the impugned order for lack of any glaring infirmity where choice has been made in the matter of a Lambardar as this would imply superimposing one perception over the other sans any sustainable reasons. We also notice from the impugned judgment that there is material to suggest in Kanungo's report that the appellant is not a resident of the village and rather residing in Ferozepur City where he carried on his business.

In this view of the matter, we do not find any perversity in the choice of respondent No.4 as a Lambardar and decline interference.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

07.07.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No