

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1100 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 28.08.2017

Sarvjeet Kaur

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr.K.G.Chaudhary, Advocate
for the appellant.

...

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

CM-2329-LPA-2017

Having regard to the facts mentioned in the
application, delay of 34 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

C.M.stands allowed.

LPA No.1100 of 2017

This appeal is directed against the judgment of the
learned Single Judge dated 21.02.2017 declining interference in
the writ proceedings on the ground of delay and laches. The
appellant wanted consideration to a selection process relating
back to the year 2007 in which regard she has filed a writ petition
in the year 2016 resulting in the impugned order. Aggrieved
against the same, learned counsel for the appellant contends that
similarly situated persons were granted consideration under the

LPA No.1100 of 2017 (O&M) -2-

orders of this Court and there would be no reason to deny him similar consideration. We, however, do not agree with the contention as a person aggrieved of an action or inaction should be vigilant enough to approach the court within a reasonable time which in the matter of service has been described by the Hon'ble Supreme Court as one year. We thus do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order. If the respondents have an inclination to consider the claim of the appellant, they would be at liberty to do so without being hindered by the dismissal of the writ petition.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

28.08.2017
dss

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No