

Sr. No.235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 1052 of 2017(O&M)

Meenu AroraAppellant

VS.

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

AND

LPA No.1053 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.07.2017

Rupinder SinghAppellant

VS.

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

**CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present:- Mr.Munish Puri, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. P.S.Bajwa, Addl. A. G. Punjab.
Mr. Rajeev Kawatra, Advocate
for respondents no.4 and 5.

-.-

MAHESH GROVER, J (ORAL)

By this common order we shall dispose of both the above mentioned Letters Patent Appeal No. 1052 of 2017 and Letters Patent Appeal No. 1053 of 2017, as the same question of law is involved in both the appeals. The facts are taken from LPA No.1052 of 2017.

This Letters Patent Appeal is against the order of the learned Single Judge dated 18.05.2017. The writ petitioner is the biological mother of the minor child who was entrusted to the present respondents namely Sh.Nand Kishore Bhadlani and Dimple Bhadlani under an arrangement which they termed to be adoption, which, however, had no sanction of law.

LPA No. 1052 of 2017(O&M) -2-

After such an entrustment, the writ petitioner had developed second thoughts and wanted her child back. The learned Single Judge dismissed the writ petition on the ground that the habeas corpus petition would not be maintainable in view of the admission of the writ petitioner that she had voluntarily given the custody of the child to the said respondents.

Before we could examine this issue, the parties have now settled their dispute and the minor child has been returned to the biological parents. They have also agreed that this would put an end to the litigation *inter se* between them. The agreement / settlement has been placed on record. The parties have also made their statements separately to this effect.

In view of the aforesaid, when the child has been restored to the biological parents, all the other issues which may have arisen for consideration fade into insignificance, consequently, we dispose of both the appeals in view of the compromise with the observation that the child having been restored to the biological parents, the litigation *inter se* between the parties would also be put to an end. Both the parties shall be bound by the terms of the compromise.

Disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

July 17, 2017
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No