

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

RFA No.4913 of 2016(O & M)

Date of Decision:10.01.2017

Sukhbir Singh and others

....appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.S.S.Kaliramana, Advocate
for the appellants

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.13732-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 1208 days in re-filing the appeal. For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.13733-CI of 2016 and RFA No.4913 of 2016

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated 17.05.2016, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.3158 of 2013**, titled “State of Haryana and another versus Rohtas and others”, and other connected matters, vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation as regards village Dhankot to ₹1,81,00000/- per acre.

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf the respondents.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

For, concededly, the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Rohtas's case (Supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision.

January 10, 2017

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No.

Whether reportable-

Yes/No