

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.4904 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 09.01.2017**

Rattan Singh and others

.....Appellants

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

CM-13703-CI-2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the appeal is taken on board.

CM-13705-CI-2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 2861 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 17.02.2016, rendered by this court in RFA No.2902 of 1999 [**Union of India and another v. Major Pritam Singh and another**] and other connected cases, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the compensation uniformly to Rs. 2300/- per marla.

Notice.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others*, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and *Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others*, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 2861 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, to balance the equities, for the period of delay in filing the appeal the applicants shall not be entitled to any interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-13704-CI-2016

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, the LRs of late Chinto widow of Dayal Chand, Atmo daughter of Dayal Chand, Basanto son of Sohnu, Bachittar Singh son of Sonu and Jaimal Singh son of Dayal Chand (appellant No.2 to 6), as depicted in para 2 to 6 of the application, are brought on record for the purpose of pursuing the present appeal only.

CM-13706-CI-2016

Allowed, as prayed for.

RFA-4904-2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in *Major Pritam Singh's* case (*supra*), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e. 2861 days.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

January 9, 2017

Rajan/Raman

Whether speaking/ reasoned	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO