

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.4511 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision: 21.02.2017

Rohtash and others

... Appellant(s)

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the appellant(s).

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM-12597-CI-2016

Allowed, as prayed for.

CM-12598-12599-CI-2016

For the reasons set out in the applications, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the same are allowed subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, the LRs of late Smt. Rajjan widow of Gopi (appellant No.2) and LRs of Late Smt. Mukti widow of Laxman (appellant No.5), as depicted in para 3 of the application, are brought on record for the purpose of pursuing the present appeal only.

CM-12600-CI-2016

This is an application seeking condonation of delay of 2022 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 28.03.2016, rendered by this court in RFA No.2322 of 2011 [**Usha Rani and others v. State of Haryana and others**] and other connected cases, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners to ₹ 48,57,000/- per acre.

Notice.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana, and Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2, and respondent No.3, respectively.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned

counsel for the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others*, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and *Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others*, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 2022 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, to balance the equities, for the period of delay in filing the appeal the applicants shall not be entitled to any interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA-4511-2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in *Usha Rani's case (supra)*, the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e. 2022 days.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

February 21, 2017
sanjiv

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO