

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

RFA No.3879 of 2016(O & M)

Date of Decision:27.01.2017

Hardeep Singh and others

....appellants

Versus

Land Acquisition Collector, Faridkot and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.S.K.Arora, Advocate
for the appellants

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.10711-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 770 days in filing the appeal.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated 27.02.2016, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.6744 of 2014**, titled “Gurajaib Singh and others versus State of Punjab and others”, and other connected matters, vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners, uniformly, to ₹ 18,65,470/- per acre. Learned counsel for the applicants points out that although, the landowners were held entitled to 30% of the market value of the acquired land, on account of severance charges, but the applicants, herein, shall not be entitled to any compensation, on that account, as they had made no such claim before the reference Court.

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.K.K.Gupta, Addl.AG Punjab, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437* and *Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507*, delay of 770 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, to balance the equities, for the period of delay, the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.10712-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Consequently, legal representatives of deceased Bhajan Kaur-appellant No.3, who is stated to have died on 25.04.2016, as depicted in para No.2 of the application, are brought on record, for the purpose of pursuing the appeal only.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA No.3879 of 2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Gurajaib Singh's case (Supra), the present appeal is disposed of in terms of the said decision. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e.770 days.

January 27, 2017

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable-

Yes/No