

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM-2702-CI-2017 &
RFA No.3811 of 2016
Date of decision: 08.03.2017

Chandro and others

... Appellants

Versus

Land Acquisition Collector, PWD (Power Department) Haryana Treasury
Road, Ambala City and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Advocate for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

CM-2702-CI-2017

Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 12.01.2017, rendered by this court in RFA No.1848 of 2007 [**State of Haryana and others v. Nafe Singh and others**] and other connected cases, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the claimant-landowners.

Notice.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2.

For, respondents No.3 to 11 are alleged to be proforma party, their service is dispensed with.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the appeal is taken on board.

RFA-3811-2016

For, concededly the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Nafe Singh’s case (supra), the present appeal too is disposed of in the same terms.

March 8, 2017
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO