

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-11699-CI of 2017 in/and
RFA No.4520 of 2015
Date of Decision : 02.11.2017**

Smt. Savitri and others

.....Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms.Anisha Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.Salil Bali, Advocate for the applicant/appellants.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the applicant/appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment dated 03.11.2015, rendered by this Court in RFA No.5360 of 2011 (Kehar Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others) and other connected matters, vide which, in the appeals, arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the claimant/landowners.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the non-applicant/respondents, for, she submits that the appeal is required to be disposed of, in terms of the said decision.

Accordingly, the appeal is taken on Board and disposed of, in terms of the, decision of this Court, in the case of Kehar Singh (supra).

However, while condoning the delay, this Court, vide order dated

1.10.2015, had observed that the claimant/landowners shall not be entitled to any interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay in filing the appeal. The Branch shall take note of the said order while drawing a decree in this regard.

02.11.2017

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No