

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No.5604 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.11.2017

United India Insurance Co. Ltd.

... Appellant

Versus

Noor Mohammad and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

CM No.23002-CII of 2017

The application is allowed and additional affidavit is taken on record.

CM No.18359-CII of 2017

The explanation given in the allegedly better affidavit also does not meet the criterion in Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963.

Office rigmarole is not sufficient justification for the delay. When limitation expires valuable rights accrue to the decree holder or an award holder in a case of compensation for fatal accident and, therefore, I would not find sufficient reason or justification in condoning the delay of 29 days in filing the appeal.

The application stands dismissed.

FAO No.5604 of 2017 (O&M)

With the dismissal of the application, the main appeal also stands dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

01.11.2017
manju

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>