

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.894 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:23.02.2017

**The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Punjab
& U.T.**

.....Appellant

Vs.

Raj Pal Rattan and Another

.....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Tangri, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Amit Kaith, Advocate for respondent No.1.

SURYA KANT, J.(Oral)

The instant Letters Patent Appeal is directed against the interim order passed by learned Single Judge in a pending writ petition. Vide the interim order, learned Single Judge has restored pension of ₹917/- per month in favour of the respondent- retiree.

Since the writ petition is still pending, it is not expedient for us to express any opinion touching the merits of the case lest it causes any prejudice to either party. The interim restoration of pension by learned Single Judge surely does not call for any interference by us except to direct that the writ petition be ordered to be listed for final hearing in a time bound manner as the learned Single Judge has already directed that the amount shall be paid to the respondent subject to outcome of the writ petition.

The appeal is thus disposed of with a direction to the Registry to list the writ petition for final hearing within a period of one year.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

23.02.2017
renu

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No