

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**L.P.A. No.682 of 2016 (O&M)
Decided on : 21.08.2017**

Amanpreet Singh

... Appellant

Versus

Chairman, Punjab Mandi Board and others

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present : Mr. Aseem Kataria, Advocate, for
Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate,
for the appellant.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant states that he has no instructions to argue the matter. He appears for Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate and requests for an adjournment which is totally unjustified in the given circumstances considering that the matter first came up for hearing on 27.4.2017 whereafter it has been adjourned to 12.7.2016, 11.8.2016, 14.9.2016, 23.9.2016, 7.11.2016, 25.1.2017 and 23.2.2017 without a single attempt to argue the case. We also notice that the appellant challenged the key of the questions in the examination process which have been adequately dealt with by the learned Single Judge. Apart from this, and even if this fact was to be ignored, with the passage of time, selected candidates who are not even

before us would have settled in employment and if hearing of the appeal has been frustrated, then it is only on account of the appellant himself who has not argued the case despite its pendency since April, 2016.

Consequently, we dismiss the appeal for the reasons aforestated.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

August 21, 2017

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No