

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 679 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 18.04.2017

Managing Director, State Bank of Patiala, The Mall, Patiala and others
.... Appellants

Versus

Anil Bamania
.... Respondent

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Chauhan, Advocate,
for the respondent.

* * *

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants, on the basis of written instructions, submits that having regard to the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, the appellant bank is willing to comply with the order passed by the learned Single Judge, but it may not be treated as a binding precedent in future.

[2] We have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the appellants.

[3] Since in the case in hand, there are complicated factual issues involved in the pending disciplinary proceedings, coupled with the fact that no member of the Trade Union is willing to assist the respondent, we accept the request of the appellant bank. Let the order under appeal be complied

with and the respondent be permitted to avail due assistance but the order of the learned Single Judge shall not be taken as a binding precedent for future cases. Consequently, the question of law involved in this appeal is kept open.

[4] Appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

April 18, 2017
ndj

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No