

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No.5092 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.10.2017

Pritam

... Appellant

Versus

Premwati and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the appellant.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

1. The three successive orders passed from the first date of listing and hearing are as follows:-

“Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the appellant.

*On the request of learned counsel for the appellant, adjourned to 4.9.2017.
31.07.2017”*

“Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate, for the appellant.

Prays for time to produce route of travel from Khetri to Delhi via Narnaul to see whether National Permit (Truck) authorized plying of vehicle from Narnaul to Delhi via Rewari or Behror. The accident took place in Rewari.

*List on 14.09.2017.
04.09.2017”*

“Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate, for the appellant.

*Prays for further time to do the needful to
have the Court understand the rule.*

List on 04.10.2017.

14.09.2017”

2. No one appears for the appellant nor pass over is sought when case is called.

3. As the fundamental issue queried by Court has not been answered despite time taken it casts serious doubt and dark clouds and the inference deducible from the evidence as recorded by the Tribunal is that no accident took place as alleged in the claim application and the claim is fudged. The Tribunal has dismissed the claim application.

4. Since compliance has not been made of the orders despite time granted, this Court assumes that the appellant has nothing to say in this regard in support of the appeal.

5. Accordingly the appeal is dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

04.10.2017

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *No*