

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(1) LPA No. 551 of 2016 (O&M)

Dr. Barinder Pal

....Appellant

V/s.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(2) LPA No. 552 of 2016 (O&M)

Dr. Barinder Pal

....Appellant

V/s.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(3) LPA No. 523 of 2016 (O&M)

Dr. Subprinder Kaur

....Appellant

V/s.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Date of decision:10.10.2017

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present: Mr. Gurminder Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate for the
appellants in LPA Nos. 551 and 552 of 2016.

Mr. Rajiv Atma Ram, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arjun Pratap Atma Ram, Advocate
for the appellant in LPA No. 523 of 2016.

Mr. P.P.S. Thethi, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate for
respondents No. 5 to 7 in LPA No. 551 of 2016.

MAHESH GROVER, J (Oral).

By this order, we dispose of three Letters Patent Appeals Nos.

551, 552 and 523 of 2016.

The appellants were appointed in a selection as Medical Officers (Dental) pursuant to which they joined services in the year 2012 and continued ever since. The selection was challenged by the present respondents No. 5 to 7 which was accepted setting aside the selection of present appellants resulting in the present appeals.

During the pendency of the appeals, the appellants were granted interim protection and the service arrangement in their favour was not disturbed. During this interregnum on account of various orders passed by this Court, the respondent-State has, while adopting a very fair attitude accommodated the respondents No. 5 to 7 as well by appointing them as Medical Officers (Dental).

In view of this situation, when the interest of all the disputants before us have been accommodated, we are of the opinion that the cause espoused in the present Letters Patent Appeals by the appellants have now been rendered illusory and may not warrant interference. Therefore, we dispose of all the appeals as having been rendered infructuous.

Evidently, the stand of the State is suggestive of the fact that the appellants and respondents who are in service shall continue to serve.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

October 10, 2017
Divyanshi

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No