

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.537 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision :13.02.2017

Union Territory Chandigarh and another

....Appellants

Versus

Krishan Pal and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR.SHEKHER DHAWAN

....

Present: Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Advocate and
Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
for the appellants.

....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

After arguing at length, learned counsel for the appellants prays for permission to withdraw the instant appeal saying that he has specific instructions that the case of the respondent No.1 has been considered sympathetically and a decision favourable to him is likely to emerge.

In this view of the matter, we do not intend to pass any orders on the merits of the controversy but would still deem it appropriate to comment that a beneficial scheme intended for rehabilitation of poor and downtrodden should not be undermined and the administration should ensure proper verification of the beneficiaries and not to make the process the

hostage to mere technicalities as the one which we notice in the present controversy. The inherent characteristics of the scheme are intended to generate a benefit to the residents of slum areas to which it extends and therefore it is imperative that the administration keep in mind that the intended benefit is not lost to technicalities. Rather emphasis should be on strict verification.

Dismissed as withdrawn. The decision in the case of respondent No.1 be taken as expeditiously as possible but not later than three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Since the appeal is being withdrawn, needless to say the application for condonation of delay need not be commented upon.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

13.02.2017
dss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No