

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**L.P.A. No.481 of 2016 (O&M)
Decided on : 10.08.2017**

Ram Kumar

... Appellant

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak &
Ors.

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present : Mr. Bhavpreet S. Dhatt, Advocate, for
Mr. S.S.Kaushik, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana,
for respondents No.2 to 4..

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

CM-1045-LPA-2016

In view of the reasons given in the application, delay of
152 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Main case

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and in
view of the order dated 14.9.2016 passed by this Court which is
extracted below, we deem it appropriate to modify the order of the
learned Single Judge by granting 6% interest per annum on the amount

awarded by the learned Single Judge with effect from the date of the award till realisation : -

Order dated 14.9.2016

“ The only argument advanced before us is that an amount of compensation though adequate but should have been accompanied with interest.

The learned Single Judge has disposed of the writ petition in the following terms :

“Therefore, I set aside the award of the Labour Court. Since the workman has rendered service of almost three years from September, 1999 to July, 2001, I deem it appropriate to grant him consolidated amount of compensation of ₹ 1,50,000/- to be paid to the workman. The Management is directed to make the payment of the aforementioned amount of compensation within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which it shall entail interest @ 12% per annum.

After hearing the learned counsel for the appellant, although we do not find any reason to interfere in the quantum of compensation amount but keeping in view the fact that the appellant had worked only for two years, we feel ends of justice will be squarely met if the amount of compensation is to be

accompanied with interest but we, before modifying the order of the learned Single Judge, issue notice of motion for 13.12.2016.”

In view of the above, present appeal stands disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

August 10, 2017

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No