

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**L.P.A. No.38 of 2016 (O&M)
Decided on : 1.11.2017**

Haryana Forest Development Corporation Limited

... Appellants

Versus

Presiding Officer and others

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present : Mr. Rahul Jain, Advocate, for
Mr. J.S.Bedi, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. AG., Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

During the pendency of the appeal, the matter has been resolved. The respondent workman had submitted to the management that he is willing to forego his back wages and costs that were imposed subject to the condition that he is taken back in service which has been accepted by the appellants.

Learned counsel representing the respondent workman is present along with the workman who does not deny the reinstatement in terms of the settlement spelt out above.

It has also been agreed that the benefits given to the other

workmen during the period respondent No.2 was out of service would also be made admissible to him.

In view of this, we dispose of the appeal as having become infructuous in view of the settlement *inter se* between the parties.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

November 1, 2017

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No