

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

Anuradha
2017.11.04 11:07
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

205

RSA No. 3741 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.11.2017

PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD

....APPELLANT

VS

NAROTAM CHAND AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the respondent No.1.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(Oral)

This appeal has been filed against the judgment and decree of the lower Appellate Court whereby the punishment order imposed upon the respondent and terminating his services were set aside. It transpires that liberty was granted to the appellant to pass a fresh order, a fresh order has been passed and again the services of the respondent have been terminated from the same date i.e. 27.3.2003 and again the respondent has challenged the same by way of a fresh civil suit which is pending.

In the circumstances, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous. It is further clarified that the respondent would not be entitled to any benefit which may have accrued from the impugned order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.M, if any, also stand disposed of.

27.11.2017
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE_

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No