

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.2466 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.02.2017

Joginder Singh @ Ginder Singh and others

....Appellants

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR.SHEKHER DHAWAN

....

Present: Mr. Preetwinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the appellants.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants contends that the issue of maintainability of revision before the Financial Commissioner was not the proper remedy as appeal was provided against the order which the respondent No.5 did not avail of. This plea, according to him, was specifically raised before the learned Single Judge but has not been noticed.

We would be unable to accept this plea of the appellants unless they approach the learned Single Judge in this regard to apprise him that a contention raised by them has been inadvertently left out.

We, therefore, dispose of the instant appeal with liberty to the appellants to approach the learned Single Judge in the first instance.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

20.02.2017
dss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No