

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA No.2464 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.02.2017

Rajesh Kumar
.....Appellant
Versus

Union of India and others
....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR.SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate
for the appellant.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that since the fresh process has been undertaken by the Corporation qua grant of retail outlet, the main controversy in the instant appeal has been rendered illusory. However, he confines his grievance to the direction of the learned Single Judge imposing Rs.30,000/- as costs for concealment of facts, which the learned counsel for the appellant attributes to miscommunication with his client. He has also expressed regrets for the same.

Therefore, without going into the issue as to whether the facts were concealed from the court or not but noticing that the learned counsel has expressed regrets for the same, even if it were so, we would accept the contention raised by the appellant and waive off the costs. Order accordingly.

Appeal stands disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

20.02.2017
dss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No