

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-3576-2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 30.11.2017

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

..... Appellants

Versus

Smt. Kamla Devi and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Prabhjeet S. Sullar, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This appeal has been filed against the judgment of the appellate court modifying that of the trial court and thereby holding the respondents (widow and son of the deceased) disentitled for compassionate appointment but granting them monthly assistance in terms of the Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased Government Employees Rule, 2006 (for short '*2006 Rules*').

It may be noticed that these benefits had originally been granted to the respondents but had been withdrawn vide order dated

force of the 2006 Rules. However, in this case the appellant-Nigam had itself offered to the respondents to get benefit under the 2006 Rules. In these circumstances, it would not lie in the mouth of the appellants to claim that the 2006 Rules were not applicable in the case of the respondents.

Appeal is dismissed. No costs.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 30, 2017
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No