

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-2307-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 11, 2017

Jarnail Singh

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.G.P.S.Bal, Advocate
for the appellant.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The appellant's claim for extension in service has been turned down by the learned Single Judge vide order under appeal and rightly so on the ground that he is facing disciplinary proceedings and there is an express clause in the Government policy that those employees who are charge-sheeted are not entitled to seek extension in service. Learned counsel for the appellant, however, contends that disciplinary proceedings are lying stagnant and no steps have been taken to conclude the same.

In view of the aforesaid facts, we dispose of this appeal with a direction to disciplinary Authority to expedite the proceedings and conclude the same as early as possible and preferably within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

January 11, 2017

meenuss

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |