

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

RFA No.10083 of 2014

Date of Decision:02.02.2017

Mulki Ram and others

....appellants

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.A.S.Dhindsa, Advocate for the appellants

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

Concededly, the present appeal has been preferred against an award dated 05.06.2014 rendered by the Land Acquisition Collector, Panipat, under Section 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'). An appeal under Section 54 of the Act lies and is maintainable against the award rendered by the Court, and, thus, this appeal is not maintainable.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants had filed the present appeal in good faith, which has been pursued bonafidely. Thus, the appellants be permitted to withdraw this appeal, so as to invoke the provisions of Section 28-A(3) of the Act.

Dismissed as withdrawn. However, in the event, the appellants file the necessary application, in terms of Section 28-A(3) of the Act, before the Collector, within six weeks from today, he shall refer the same for determination to the civil Court.

February 02, 2017

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable-

(ARUN PALLI)

JUDGE

Yes/No.

Yes/No