

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No.2227 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 24, 2017

Satpal Singh Dahiya

.....Appellant

versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.**

**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.**

\*\*\*

Present: Mr.Ram Kumar Malik, Senior Advocate with  
Mr.Ramandeep Singh, Advocate, for the appellant.

-. -

**Surya Kant, J.** (Oral)

The appellant is an Executive Engineer in Haryana Urban Development Authority. He was charge-sheeted alleging *inter-alia* that (i) he failed to point-out the violation in the basement constructed under the corridor of Booth Nos.22-B and 23, Gurgaon and (ii) he recommended for occupation certificate to the allottees of these Booth sites ignoring the violations which caused financial loss to HUDA.

[2] The appellant submitted his reply which was not found satisfactory and Inquiry Officer was appointed to hold a fact-finding enquiry. It appears that a former District & Sessions Judge held the enquiry, who vide report dated 31.12.2008 held the appellant guilty of the charges. Show cause notice was given; enquiry report was supplied and the appellant's objections thereto were duly considered before the Disciplinary Authority, by way of a self-speaking order dated 27.06.2011, imposed the penalty of stoppage of one increment with cumulative effect. The appellant filed departmental appeal which was turned down by the Chief Secretary-