

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.2135 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 31.01.2017

Sukhram Singh

....Appellant

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR**

Present: Mr. G.S.Nagra, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. B.S.Seemar, Advocate
for the caveator/respondent No.4.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

This is an appeal directed against the judgment of the
learned Single Judge dated 29.07.2016.

The controversy revolves around the selection of a
Lambardar and the learned Single Judge respected the choice of
the Collector in view of the well settled norms in this regard
which mandate upholding the choice of the Collector unless some
perversity is shown.

We have gone through the comparative merit of the
appellant as also the selected candidate as noticed in the
impugned judgment and do not find it a fit case to interfere and
unsettle the choice of respondent No.4 as Lambardar by the

Collector as it does not throw up any perversity. Besides the appellant's claim does not boast of any exceptional merit to warrant a preference as an essential conclusion.

Thus finding no merit in the appeal the same is hereby dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

31.01.2017
dss

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No