

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Letters Patent Appeal No. 2015 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.05.2017

Smt. Chalti and Others

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Haryana and Others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover.
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Shekher Dhawan.**

Present: Mr. M.K.Dogra, Advocate
for the appellant(s)

Mr. Vivek Saini, DAG Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate
for the caveator-respondent No.4.

Mahesh Grover, J.

Learned counsel for the caveator-respondent No.4 contends that a compromise has been effected *inter se* between the parties and has filed a photocopy thereof, which is taken on record as Mark “X”. Learned counsel for the appellant has stated that he has no instructions in this regard.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the caveator-respondent No.4 and the compromise (Mark “X”), we deem it appropriate to dispose of the appeal considering the factum of settlement *inter se* between the parties.

**(Mahesh Grover)
Judge**

**(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge**

May 16, 2017
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No