

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

RSA-2680-2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 11.09.2017

Om Parkash

..... Appellant

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Anil Mehta, D.A.G., Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This appeal has been filed against the concurrent judgments of the Courts below dismissing the suit challenging the punishment of imposition of withdrawal of two increments with cumulative effect.

The allegations were that a thief was caught in Village Salarpur in between 7.00 to 7.15 AM on 10.09.2006 and despite having been deputed to reach there and control the situation the appellant and other officials did not reach the village till 9.30 AM and it was only when the DSP and the SHO reached the spot the situation was controlled.

The main argument raised is that the appellate authority has passed a non-speaking order.

Learned Deputy Advocate General has fairly accepted that the appellate order is a non-speaking order.

No other point has been argued before me. In the circumstances, instead of admitting this appeal I deem it appropriate to dispose of the same. Ordered accordingly. While setting aside the appellate order I direct that the matter be taken up from that stage and the appeal filed by the appellant be decided afresh by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 11, 2017
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No