

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1452 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 24.04.2017

Sanjeet

....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR.SHEKHER DHAWAN

....

Present: Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Advocate
for the appellant.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The only argument raised before us while impugning the order dated 08.04.2016 is that the appellant has been precluded from mounting a challenge to the selection process in which he was an unsuccessful participant. It is contended that most of the time was consumed in getting information.

We notice from the impugned order that the learned Single Judge has not commented on the right of the appellant to challenge the selection, rather it is the appellant who himself invited a stray observation about the permission to mount a challenge to the selection.

Even this observation does not come in the way of the appellant to challenge the selection. We, therefore, disagreeing with the contention of the learned counsel for the appellant that his right to challenge the selection has been forfeited, dismiss the appeal.

However, in the peculiar set of circumstances, we condone the delay of 60 days in filing the appeal.

(MAHESH GROVER)

JUDGE

24.04.2017

dss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No