

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1174 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 24.04.2017

M/s Amit Bidhal Cooperative Labour &
Construction Society Ltd.

....Appellant

Versus

Employee Provident Fund Commissioner
and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR.SHEKHER DHAWAN

....

Present: Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Advocate
for the appellant.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that if the entire petition and the reply submitted is perused, the concealment would not be evident. The mistake has certainly occurred in the translated version of the impugned order. He pleads that this mistake was inadvertent and unintentional.

After hearing the learned counsel for the appellant, we are of the opinion that since the petition has been dismissed largely on the aforesaid ground of misrepresentation, it would be just and appropriate if the prayer made before us is made before the learned Single Judge in the first instance to enable him to appreciate the regretful conduct of the appellant.

The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the appellant to approach the learned Single Judge.

However, in the peculiar set of circumstances, we condone the delay of 117 days in filing and 44 days in re-filing the appeal.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

24.04.2017
dss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No