

The learned counsel for the appellants states that applicant-appellant No. 1 is to run the family. Therefore, the condition imposed in the

FAO No. 3301 of 2017 (O/M)

impugned judgment to release only 15% amount of compensation to applicant-appellant No. 1 in cash and putting the remaining amount in fixed deposit for three years is to be set aside.

In view of the matter, the condition imposed in the judgment dated 19.4.2017 is found to be unjust and the same is accordingly set aside. Let respondents release the entire share of applicant-appellant No. 1 in one go.

In view of the above, the present appeal is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No