

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.208

RSA No.216 of 2012

Date of decision: 10.10.2017

Malkiat Singh and others

....Appellants

versus

Kuldeep Kaur and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: None.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

As per office report dated 10.03.2017, fresh notices could not be issued to respondents No.1 to 4 and 6 as counsel for the appellant did not file their correct addresses.

On 15.03.2017, no one had appeared on behalf of the appellants. However, this Court had passed the following order:-

“As per office report, fresh notice could not be issued to respondents No.1 to 4 and 6 as the counsel for the appellants has not filed the correct and current addresses of these respondents.

No one is present on behalf of the appellants.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 23.05.2017.

Meanwhile in case, the counsel for the appellants furnishes the correct and current addresses of respondents No.1 to 4 and 6 within a week, then notice be issued to said respondents, for the date fixed.

Dasti as well.”

Office reports dated 20.05.2017, 03.05.2017 and 07.10.2017

further reveal that counsel for the appellants did not bother to collect the

dasti notices for service of respondents No.1 to 4 and 6.

Even today, a written request for adjournment has been filed which is declined.

In view of the above, dismissed for non-prosecution.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

October 10, 2017
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportbale	Yes/No