

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**ITA No. 366 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.02.2017**

M/s ZTE Corporation ... Appellant
versus
CIT-II (International Taxation), New Delhi and another Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present: Mr. Deepak Agrawal, Advocate,
Mr. Rohit Gupta, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Inderpreet Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL. J (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of ITA Nos. 366 to 371 of 2016 as the learned counsel for the parties agree that the issue in all the appeals is identical. The facts are taken from ITA No. 366 of 2016.

2. This is an appeal filed under Section 260A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (in short the 'Act') against the order dated 30.05.2016 passed under Section 254 (1) of the Act by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, Delhi.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the appellant-assessee submitted that he has instructions to withdraw the appeals as the appellant wants to challenge the order before the Delhi High Court.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

5. Accordingly, the appeals are dismissed as withdrawn.

However, it shall be open to the appellant to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to it in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

22.02.2017

kv

Whether speaking/non-speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No