

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

239

FAO-M-438 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 23, 2017

Veenu

....Appellant

versus

Amit Dua

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Ms. Amanpreet Kaur Sandhu, Advocate for
Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant pointed out that a petition under Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 had been filed by the parties for divorce by mutual consent which was fixed before the Family Court, Karnal on May 11, 2017 for recording of the second motion statement. Learned counsel submitted that she has no instructions inspite of making best efforts for the same.

2. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous as there is nothing on record to show whether the second motion statement had not been recorded on 11.05.2017. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to file an application for revival of the appeal, in case, the respondent had resiled from making statement on second motion and the decree of divorce by way of mutual consent has not been passed by the trial Court.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

May 23, 2017
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No