

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM-5678-CI-2017; and
RFA No.2156 of 2013(O&M)
Date of decision: 10.11.2017

Hukam Singh

... Appellant

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Deepak Thapar, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Senior Standing Counsel with
Mr. Tarun Walia, Advocate for UT, Chandigarh.
Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate for applicant-respondents No.3 &
4.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

On the previous date of hearing i.e. 09.10.2017, this Court had passed the following order:

“Learned counsel for the non-applicant/appellant submits that despite repeated communications, the appellant has failed to respond. And, in fact, neither he nor anybody on his behalf has contacted his office in the recent past.

Let notice be issued to the appellant for an actual date i.e. 10.11.2017.”

Office report dated 09.11.2017 indicates that the appellant has since been served though neither he is present in person nor anyone on his behalf has caused appearance. Mr. Deepak Thapar, Advocate submits that he too has no instruction to pursue the matter any further.

That being so, the Court is choice-less but to dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution. However, appellant shall be at liberty to move an application for restoration of the appeal, if so advised.

Ordered, accordingly.

November 10, 2017
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	NO
Whether Reportable:	NO