

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

**RSA No. 3055 of 2011(O&M)
Date of decision : 4.12.2017.**

DHARAM PAL

...APPELLANT

VS.

VED PARKASH AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

Dr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. S.K.Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.1(b)

AJAY TEWARI, J.(oral)

This appeal has been filed against the concurrent judgments of the Courts below decreeing the suit filed by the respondent No.1-deceased whereby he had challenged the promotion given to the appellant.

The claim of the plaintiff was that the daily wage/adhoc service of the appellant had wrongly been added in his regular service and consequently he had illegally been made senior to him. Both the Courts below held that the period of daily wage/ad hoc service could be counted for giving retiral benefit but could not be counted for seniority.

Learned counsel for the appellant is not able to show me how these findings are flawed.

Consequently, the appeal stands dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.M, if any,
also stand disposed of.

4.12.2017
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

-

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No