

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

FAO No.7283 of 2016(O & M)

Date of Decision:10.05.2017

Harjit Singh Grover

....appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.R.S.Manhas, Advocate for the appellant

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order/judgement dated 15.02.2017 rendered by this Court in FAO No.6522 of 2016 titled “**Mangal Dass Versus Govt. of India through Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Surface/Highways and others**” and, thus, the instant appeal, has to be disposed of in terms thereof.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. For, respondent Nos.3 and 4 are alleged to be proforma parties, their service is dispensed with.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2.

For, concededly, the matter is covered by the decision in case of Mangal Dass(supra), the present appeal too is disposed of in terms thereof.

May 10, 2017

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No.

Whether reportable-

Yes/No