

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-COM No.8 of 2017
Date of Decision:-17.01.2017

Radex Stationary India Pvt. Ltd.

..... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: - Mr.Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order/letter dated 19.12.2016 by which the petitioner has been non-suited by the duly constituted committee on the ground that the technical bid was not submitted within the prescribed time.

During the course of hearing learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the technical bid was submitted at 11:40 hrs. The tender notice available at page 63 of the paper-book clearly provides the date and time for the submission of bid and according to which the bid was to be submitted, starting from 7.10.2016 to 27.10.2016 upto 11.30 hrs. Since, the petitioner had submitted the bid and was present at the time of opening of the bid does not mean that the bid submitted by him was in time and was considered. Rather the respondents has specifically stated in its order that though the bid was submitted by the petitioner but the committed had found that it was not duly submitted in time and therefore, for that reason it was not considered.

In view thereof, there is hardly any scope for interference in this petition and hence, the same is hereby dismissed.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

17.01.2017

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No