

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*\*

**CWP-COM No.170 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 23.05.2017**

\*\*\*\*\*

Yudhishter Kumar Sharma (since deceased) through his LRs

....Petitioner

**Vs.**

Bank of Baroda and others

....Respondents

\*\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.K. JAIN**

Present: Mr.Rupinder S. Khosla, Sr. Advocate, with  
Mr.Sarvesh Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

***RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)***

The prayer made in this petition is essentially to quash the order dated 02.5.2017 passed by the Debts Recovery Tribunal-II, Chandigarh in SA 102/17 (New No.) SA 156/15 (Old No.).

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per Section 18 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 [for short 'the Act'], any order passed by the DRT is appealable before DRAT. The petitioner has, thus, a statutory remedy of assailing the order of the DRT before the DRAT, therefore, the petition, invoking the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is not maintainable.

Dismissed.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)  
JUDGE**

**23.05.2017**

*Vivek*

*Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No*

*Whether reportable Yes/No*