

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9967 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 10.5.2017

Bir Bhan Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Hayana and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the impugned award dated 3.9.2013 (Annexure-P-14), passed by respondent No. 2 and the order of the appellate authority dated 12.8.2016 (Annexure-P-16). It is stated that there was allegation of embezzlement against the petitioner and that the Arbitrator, without properly appreciating the record, passed the award.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the view that in this case, some facts are required to be established. The entire record is to be appreciated. Therefore, in these circumstances, it is not a proper case where the writ jurisdiction should be exercised. Accordingly, the petitioner is relegated to avail remedy before the civil court.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No