

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9950 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 10.5.2017

Surinder Pal Singh

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Punjab State Warehousing Corporation and another

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Neelam Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the penalty of recovery of Rs. 70,591/- at the rate of Rs. 3,000/- per month was imposed upon the petitioner by the Managing Director of the Punjab State Warehousing Corporation, vide order dated 23.2.2005 (Annexure-P-2). The petitioner preferred statutory appeal before the Chairman, Punjab State Warehousing Corporation, Chandigarh, on 27.4.2005 (Annexure-P-3). The short prayer at this stage is to direct respondent No. 2 (appellate authority) to decide the said statutory appeal dated 27.4.2005 (Annexure-P-3) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 (appellate authority) to consider and decide the said statutory appeal of the petitioner dated 27.4.2005 (Annexure-P-3) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No