

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 06.12.2017

FAO No.9206 of 2014

Sonu

...Appellant

Vs.

Raj Kumar & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate, for the appellants.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

1. This is an appeal directed against the Award dated 04.07.2014 passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Karnal in a claim petition under Section 163-A of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and, therefore, general damages in a case of disability in non-fatal accident have to be restricted to the amounts specified in the Second Schedule. In the Second Schedule to the said Act, there is no head/provision to compensate injured person for special diet and expenses on hiring attendant/s during the period of indoor treatment, which was the one and only claim for enhancement made in this appeal. In the award there is no short fall.

2. Consequently, the instant appeal is found devoid of merit and is dismissed.

06.12.2017
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>