

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9912 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 10.5.2017

Dharam Pal

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner retired from service on 30.6.2016 on attaining the age of superannuation. It is claimed that some of the benefits have been released and some of the benefits have not been released so far. No chargesheet or inquiry is stated to be pending against the petitioner. The petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 1.2.2017 (Annexure-P-4) upon respondents, but to no avail. The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondents to decide the said legal notice dated 1.2.2017 (Annexure-P-4) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider the said legal notice of the petitioner dated 1.2.2017 (Annexure-P-4) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.5.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No