

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9908 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 10.5.2017

Amarjit Singh and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, who are the retired employees, claim that there was pay anomaly. They claim the benefit of judgment dated 29.3.2016, passed by this Court in CWP No. 13262 of 2012, titled as Narinder Pal Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others, (Annexure-P-3), vide which the anomaly was ordered to be removed. The short prayer made at this stage is that the petitioners have already made representations dated 16.5.2016 and 27.5.2016 (Annexure-P-5 Colly.) to the department and the department may be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the Principal Secretary, Government of Punjab, Department of Transport (respondent No. 1 herein) is directed to consider the said representations dated 16.5.2016 and 27.5.2016 (Annexure-P-5 Colly.) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of .

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No