

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

**Civil Writ Petition No.9864 of 2017
Date of Decision: May 09, 2017**

UV Asset Reconstruction Co. Ltd.

....Petitioner

versus

District Magistrate, Sangrur and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU***

Present: Dr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in this writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take over the possession of the secured assests mentioned in order dated 29.11.2016 (**Annexure P-15**) passed by the Additional District Magistrate, Sangrur and to hand over the same to the petitioner under Section 14 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that inadvertently against whom the impugned order dated 29.11.2016 (Annexure P-15) has been passed by respondent No.1, has not been impleaded as party-respondent in this petition. Accordingly, a prayer was made that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a

fresh petition on the same cause of action by impleading the said borrower and also by making averments in that regard.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

May 09, 2017
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No